UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,062	06/25/2003	Dinei A.F. Florencio	MCS-019-03	1634	
LYON & HAR	7590 05/29/200 R. L.L.P.	EXAMINER			
Suite 800		DIEP, NHON THANH			
300 Esplanade Drive Oxnard, CA 93036-1274			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/606,062	FLORENCIO, DINEI A.F.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nhon T. Diep	2621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	av 2008				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 May 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
ا ال	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
•		are pending in the application				
,	Claim(s) <u>1-3, 8-15, 19, 21-23-25 and 27-29</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-15,23-25 and 27-29</u> is/are allowed.					
· ·	Claim(s) 19,21 and 22 is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>6/25/2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. Claims 19 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. With regard to claims 19 and 21-22, which recite "one or more computer-readable storage media having stored thereon computer-readable instructions thereon which, when executed by one or more processors, cause the one or more processors to implement the method...". Since as indicated in the specification, paragraphs 0040-0042; computer readable media can be any available media that can be accessed by the computer and includes both volatile and nonvolatile media, removable and non-removable media. By way of example, and not limitation, computer readable media may comprise computer storage media and communication media. Computer storage media includes volatile and nonvolatile removable and non-removable media implemented in any method or technology for storage of information such as computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules or other data. Computer storage media includes, but is not limited to, RAM, ROM, EEPROM, flash memory or other memory technology, CD-ROM, digital versatile disks (DVD) or other optical disk storage, magnetic cassettes, magnetic tape, magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices, or any other medium which can be used to store the desired information and which can be accessed by the computer. Communication media typically embodies computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules or other data in a modulated data signal such as a carrier wave or other transport mechanism and includes any information delivery media. Note

Art Unit: 2621

that the term "modulated data signal" means a signal that has one or more of its characteristics set or changed in such a manner as to encode information in the signal. By way of example, and not limitation, communication media includes wired media such as a wired network or direct-wired connection, and wireless media such as acoustic, RF, infrared and other wireless media. Combinations of any of the above should also be included within the scope of computer readable media. The claims, therefore, recite nothing but the physical characteristic of a form of energy and as such are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Secondly, since the claims do not recite "A computer readable medium encoded with a computer program.". And, therefore, the claims do not define structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized and is thus non statutory.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-3, 8-15, 23-25, 27-29 appear to be allowed over prior art of records. The various prior art of record does not anticipate or render obviousness a method includes dividing the video data into a plurality of layers, and encoding each of the plurality of layers independently of each other to produce an encoded version of the video data. The encoding further includes dividing a reference frame of the video data into a plurality of layers containing reference sub-frames (where each of the reference sub-frames contains a unique frequency band), generating predicted frames each containing a unique frequency band for each of the plurality layers using the corresponding reference sub-frame containing the unique frequency band to generate

Art Unit: 2621

predicted sub-frames, and filtering each of the predicted sub-frames based on the unique frequency band of that predicted sub-frame such that frequencies outside of the unique frequency band are eliminated to, generate modified predicted sub-frames at each of the plurality of layers. The method further includes decoding each of the plurality of layers independently of each other to produce a reconstructed version of the video data. As specified in claims 1, 8, 15, 23 and 28.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T. Diep whose telephone number is 571-272-7328. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ND

/Nhon T Diep/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621